EXHIBIT A

From: Celine Purcell

Sent: Monday, January 29, 2024 5:54 PM

To: Reid Gaa; Vigen, Leslie (CIV); Adam Polk; Trevor T. Tan; Simon S. Grille; Anthony (Tony)

Rogari; Anne-Michele Von Goetz

Cc: Fred Norton; Bree Hann; Nathan Walker; Josephine Petrick; Gil Walton; Emily Kirk; Diana

Abad

Subject: RE: Stark et al. v. Patreon – Stip. Re Class Cert. Briefing Schedule

Good Evening Reid,

Thank you for your email. Before stipulating to or opposing plaintiffs' request for a 90 day extension of the class certification opening brief deadline, Patreon would like to see what, if anything, comes from (1) the Meta deposition (which Judge Spero ordered to be taken on or before February 15) and (2) the on-going meet and confer between plaintiffs and Meta (deadline to file additional briefing, if necessary, is this coming Monday February 5). It is premature for us to take a position one way or another on an extension while these discovery items are in the air and when their outcomes very well may not necessitate an extension, or if they do, may not necessitate the full 90 days.

Patreon proposes the parties connect shortly after the Meta deposition to discuss your proposed extension. By that time, presumably, the other outstanding issues with Meta will be closer to resolution (if not resolved entirely).

Best,

Celine

Celine G. Purcell
The Norton Law Firm PC
299 Third Street, Suite 200
Oakland, California 94607
cpurcell@nortonlaw.com



This email contains confidential information intended only for the use of the named recipient(s) and may also be protected by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine, or may be exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not a named recipient of this email, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to a named recipient, please take note that any dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this communication by you is strictly prohibited and no privilege is waived. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately reply to the sender of this email and then delete this email from your computer.

From: Reid Gaa <rgaa@girardsharp.com> Sent: Friday, January 26, 2024 3:49 PM

To: Celine Purcell <cpurcell@nortonlaw.com>; Vigen, Leslie (CIV) <leslie.vigen@usdoj.gov>; Adam Polk <apolk@girardsharp.com>; Trevor T. Tan <ttan@girardsharp.com>; Simon S. Grille <sgrille@girardsharp.com>; Anthony

Case 3:22-cv-03131-JCS Document 123-2 Filed 02/07/24 Page 3 of 9

(Tony) Rogari <arogari@girardsharp.com>; Anne-Michele Von Goetz <avongoetz@girardsharp.com> Cc: Fred Norton <fnorton@nortonlaw.com>; Bree Hann <bhann@nortonlaw.com>; Nathan Walker <nwalker@nortonlaw.com>; Josephine Petrick <jpetrick@nortonlaw.com>; Gil Walton <gwalton@nortonlaw.com>; Emily Kirk <ekirk@nortonlaw.com>; Diana Abad <dabad@nortonlaw.com> Subject: RE: Stark et al. v. Patreon – Stip. Re Class Cert. Briefing Schedule

You don't often get email from rgaa@girardsharp.com. Learn why this is important

Celine,

Thank you for your email. Given the Court's ruling today on Plaintiffs' motion to compel directed at the Meta subpoena and other ongoing discovery, Plaintiffs intend to seek an order from the Court extending Plaintiffs' deadline to file their motion for class certification. We request that Patreon consent to a minimum 90-day extension. We reserve the right to apply for a greater extension should Patreon decline. Please let us know Patreon's position.

Thank you, Reid

Reid Gaa

GIRARD | SHARP

www.girardsharp.com

601 California Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94108 415.981.4800 (main) 415.544.6426 (direct) rgaa@girardsharp.com

From: Celine Purcell < cpurcell@nortonlaw.com Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2024 8:42 AM

To: Vigen, Leslie (CIV) < leslie.vigen@usdoj.gov>; Adam Polk < apolk@girardsharp.com>; Kimberly Macey

<sarille@girardsharp.com>; Anthony (Tony) Rogari <arogari@girardsharp.com>; Anne-Michele Von Goetz

<avongoetz@girardsharp.com>

Cc: Fred Norton < fnorton@nortonlaw.com >; Bree Hann < bhann@nortonlaw.com >; Nathan Walker

<<u>nwalker@nortonlaw.com</u>>; Josephine Petrick <<u>ipetrick@nortonlaw.com</u>>; Gil Walton <<u>gwalton@nortonlaw.com</u>>;

Emily Kirk < ekirk@nortonlaw.com>; Diana Abad < dabad@nortonlaw.com>

Subject: RE: Stark et al. v. Patreon – Stip. Re Class Cert. Briefing Schedule

EXTERNAL EMAIL

Good Morning, Counsel,

Following up on my email below regarding the schedule for class certification briefing.

I've reattached the draft stipulation for your convenience.

If you approve, we will file it promptly.

Celine

From: Celine Purcell

Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2024 9:56 PM

To: Vigen, Leslie (CIV) <leslie.vigen@usdoj.gov>; Adam Polk <apolk@girardsharp.com>; Kimberly Macey

kmacey@girardsharp.com; Reid Gaa kmacey@girardsharp.com; Reid Gaa kmacey@girardsharp.com; Simon S. Grille

<sgrille@girardsharp.com>; Anthony (Tony) Rogari <arogari@girardsharp.com>; 'avongoetz@girardsharp.com'

Case 3:22-cv-03131-JCS Document 123-2 Filed 02/07/24 Page 4 of 9

<avongoetz@girardsharp.com>

Cc: Fred Norton <<u>fnorton@nortonlaw.com</u>>; Bree Hann <<u>bhann@nortonlaw.com</u>>; Nathan Walker

<nwalker@nortonlaw.com>; Josephine Petrick <ipetrick@nortonlaw.com>; Gil Walton <gwalton@nortonlaw.com>;

Emily Kirk < ekirk@nortonlaw.com>; Diana Abad < dabad@nortonlaw.com>

Subject: FW: Stark et al. v. Patreon – Stip. Re Class Cert. Briefing Schedule

Evening Counsel – sending this again to loop in Leslie.

From: Celine Purcell

Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2024 2:43 PM

To: Adam Polk <apolk@girardsharp.com>; Kimberly Macey <kmacey@girardsharp.com>; Reid Gaa

<arogari@girardsharp.com>; avongoetz@girardsharp.com

<<u>nwalker@nortonlaw.com</u>>; Josephine Petrick <<u>jpetrick@nortonlaw.com</u>>; Gil Walton <<u>gwalton@nortonlaw.com</u>>;

Emily Kirk < ekirk@nortonlaw.com>; Diana Abad < dabad@nortonlaw.com>

Subject: Stark et al. v. Patreon – Stip. Re Class Cert. Briefing Schedule

Good Afternoon, Counsel,

Attached please find a draft stipulation regarding class certification briefing.

As you know, Judge Spero previously ordered us to submit a joint briefing schedule within 5 days after you submit your opening brief.

Given how busy the case is, we thought it wise to have clarity regarding the schedule now instead of waiting until your opening brief is filed.

Please let us know if you have any edits to the attached.

If you approve, we are happy to get it on file.

Best,

Celine

Celine G. Purcell The Norton Law Firm PC 299 Third Street, Suite 200 Oakland, California 94607 cpurcell@nortonlaw.com



This email contains confidential information intended only for the use of the named recipient(s) and may also be protected by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine, or may be exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not a named recipient of this email, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to a named recipient, please take note that any dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this communication by you is strictly prohibited and no privilege is waived. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately reply to the sender of this email and then delete this email from your computer.

1 2 3 4 5	Fred Norton (CA SBN 224725) fnorton@nortonlaw.com Nathan Walker (CA SBN 206128) nwalker@nortonlaw.com Bree Hann (CA SBN 215695) bhann@nortonlaw.com Celine G. Purcell (CA SBN 305158) cpurcell@nortonlaw.com Gil Walton (CA SBN 324133)				
	Gil Walton (CA SBN 324133) gwalton@nortonlaw.com				
6	THE NORTON LAW FIRM PC 299 Third Street, Suite 200				
7	Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 906-4900				
8 9	Attorneys for Defendant PATREON, INC.				
10					
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
14					
15	BRAYDEN STARK and JUDD OOSTYEN,	Case No. 3:22-cv-03131-JCS			
16	on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING			
17	Plaintiffs,	SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION			
18	V.				
19	PATREON, INC.,				
20	Defendant.				
21		J			
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION CASE No. 3:22-cv-03131-JCS

1 2

Plaintiffs Brayden Stark and Judd Oostyen, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated, ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Patreon, Inc. hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

The Court previously ordered Plaintiffs to file their motion for class certification no later than March 15, 2024. Dkt. Nos. 65 and 67. The parties further stipulated, and the Court so ordered, that within 5 days of the filing of Plaintiffs' class certification motion the parties would stipulate to a briefing schedule for the class certification opposition, reply, and hearing date. Dkt. No. 67 at 1. Rather than wait for the opening brief to be filed, and to provide the parties clarity on upcoming deadlines, the parties have stipulated to the following briefing schedule:

Event	Deadline
Class Certification Opening Brief	March 15, 2024
Opposition to Class Certification	April 25, 2024
Reply Brief	May 9, 2024
Hearing Date	June 7, 2024

Further, to the extent a party relies on an expert in support of their class certification motion or opposition thereto, the party must disclose that expert and the topic(s) on which the expert will testify at least 3 weeks before the party's brief is due.

Additionally, if an expert relies upon materials not previously produced in the litigation, all such materials must be filed concurrently with the party's brief. For example, if Plaintiffs' expert relies upon material not previously produced, those materials must be produced on March 15, 2024 – the same day Plaintiffs file their opening brief. This stipulation shall not relieve any party of the obligation to timely produce documents and information that have previously been sought in discovery.

Any expert reports or declarations filed in support of a brief must comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B).

Finally, if a party relies on an expert in support of their class certification motion or opposition, the party must make their expert available for deposition within three weeks after the party's brief is

1	filed. For example, if Plaintiffs rely on an expert, they must make that expert available for deposition		
2	between March 15 and April 5, 2024.		
3			
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	Dated: February 7, 2024	Respectfully submitted,	
7		THE NORTON LAW FIRM PC	
8		THE NORTON EAW THAN TO	
9		DRAFT NAME	
10			
11		Attorneys for Defendant PATREON, INC.	
12			
13		[FIRM NAME]	
14	Dated: February 7, 2024		
15		DRAFT	
16 17		NAME	
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
19		BRAYDEN STARK and JUDD OOSTYEN	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	
	TSTIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING SCHED	OULE AND HEARING DATE FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION	

STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION CASE No. 3:22-cv-03131-JCS

Case 3:22-cv-03131-JCS Document 123-2 Filed 02/07/24 Page 8 of 9
<u>ATTESTATION</u>
Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I hereby attest that I obtained concurrence in the filing of
this document from each of the other signatories on this e-filed document.
Dated: January XX, 2023 Respectfully submitted,
/s/ DRAFT
Fred Norton THE NORTON LAW FIRM PC
3

Case 3:22-cv-03131-JCS Document 123-2 Filed 02/07/24 Page 9 of 9

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
2	
3	Dated: HON. JOSEPH C. SPERO
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4

STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION CASE No. 3:22-cv-03131-JCS